OFFICIAL DISPATCH

Y	SPECIFY AIR OR SEA POUCH		DISPAICH NO.	MGK-W- 14t-3	
	Transfer and the second	o Lunch			
		CLASSIFICATION	,		
- : -	Chief, Earlard	 			
with	Chief, Earlard	he Station	27 15 21 Fel		
F	ROM : Chief, Foreign		Attms Chief,	BOB	
, s	UBJECT GENERAL OPER	tional male	a 97	8	
	SPECIFIC- CANTE		4		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	REFERENCE: BRLN	~ 0	- 6220W		
			1		
	l. We recognised to	no urgency of a dec immediate W/I trai	ning, but the si	mation is now bedly	
	confused by several fact		\sim 11		
	a. The crack	about vagrancy god	without saying !	out misses the	
. • •	point. What we need, of be fully self-supporting	course, is details	d information the	et CANIBADE will	
_	to proclude his being dr radio training to use.	arted into a kind	of stork where to	oould not put his	
. 0	radio training to use.	the potential W/F	estions should be operators: since	SOP for any PASTIME their situation will	
	proposal, but especially govern the running of a	maker of other pri	jests. I hope by	this time you will	
	qu' eselques asses usum eval s eve eccis asses usum in	-to-date agent cont till know far teo.	excl forms on CAM. Ittle about what	MADE, as requested he is doing or	
CCN HA	would be likely to do we	der PARTIME What	about the statem	ent in MiB-4-2496,	
AB X	for military or laber service, for example? Does it still seem clear that he				
111	would be assigned to propagated work? Incidentally, we'd also be glad to get the monthly PASTIMS report promised in MAPOK B-258 of 16 September 1948; it				
PC	would greatly facilitate our answers to cables like KARL 5387.				
EX.	b. It was sur	prising news here t	thet CANTRADE bed	heen in contact	
DEG NED	with the Russians as rec	ently as August 194	18. The last pre-	rious mention of	
Russian contact in the files here dates from October 1947 (BSC/Ops/173). There were no subsequent reports with details as to continued contact with					
<u></u>	Russian case officers, although the project forms of January and June 1948				
	continued to list Russia information and the state				
	had become desirable, le	d us to assume that	all such contact	ts had petered out,	
	including the funtactic Hence the conclusion in				
	CANISADE had not been a	double agent since	October 1947; the	arrive of Mikel-	
	1219 should have been a	coordinating o		7) 7	
	7 7 -	Ama -		R. HELMS	
	30 6-52 1	3	RECORDED ₃₋₂	-6-2-4/7	
FORM N	8	CLASSIFICATI	ON	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
APR 19	0 ECI	LASSIFIED AND	RELEASED BY	(789)	
	- CEN	TRAL INTELLIG	ENCE ABENCY		
	5001	RCESMETHODSEX	EMPTION 3828		
e	# A Z 1	WAR CRINES DI	S CLUSURE ACT		
	RATI	E 2007			

c. How comes [] 's MCK-A-5897 of 26 January 1949, which mentions in passing that in spite of the satisfactory CARRIAGE test on CAMISADE in September, "it was decided on other grounds not to give him the (radie) training." Of course your later cables supersede this information, and [] may have been misinformed, but it is one more suggestion that FRM has not been kept up to date on the case.

2. Where do we stand? The reintroduction of the DA angle now holds up decision on the radio training, until the whole thing is spelled out. . As I wrote before, the organization has no blanket policy prohibiting the use of all double agents in W/T work; but you and we do need an unusually clear idea of what the DA-W/T combination could accomplish, and whether CAMISADE is the man for the job. The vanished PREGRAMT, the Keystone comedy of the notional WEBB shadowboxing with the notional NIKOLAS @ the notional ALEXANDER, and then the long silence may not be to CAMISADE'S discredit, but they don't arouse confidence in CAMISADE as a long-range, high-level, productive DA. Whether the unknown ROSTOVIEV is the needed spark we don't know, but it seems to us that we are not justified in going on with both the DA handling and the W/T training together. Maybe later, but only if this becomes a DA case of really outstanding possibilities. When we thought the DA aspect was finished, we were interested in CAMISADE as one more reliable radio link for Berlin operations in general, but that would be impossible if the DA work were to continue, in which event his W/I would be of value to no other case than his own; and our training facilities are too limited to tie them up in a single one-man case unless it is an exceptionally good one.

5. These reservations about CAMISADE shouldn't be taken as lack of support for your rememed irterant in DAs, which is welcomed here. I imagine what has soured I and I on Berlin's experience with Das is that all our postwar Das together haven't produced as much strategic and tactical -tion on the RIS as one interrogation session with a defector like E ler 2. But the fact that we've had no outstanding DA cases in Berlin doesn't make that point conclusive, and besides we haven't concentrated on the other purposes for which DAs are useful--deception, for instance. I discussed the DA passage from your interesting MGB-A-5055 with the expert you mention, who promises a long essay on DA-handling for the field which I think will stimulate imaginations and kindle the old X-2 fervor rather generally; the other day he told me it was nearly ready to go out. Of course I'll discuss all the German cases with him as much as we can make time for, but if that is to do any good it will have to be backed up by brilliant but painfully thorough agent control work by every case officer involved. I'll take up CAMISADE with him in detail as soon as we're fully informed ourselves; at the moment it would accomplish little, for he would naturally ask a score of questions on the bare racks of the case which our present file can't answer.

Altogether, the confusion and misunderstanding which have grown up argument in favor of a detailed monthly progress report.

₩

occael

7